Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/726,707	WATANABE, YOSHINORI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERIC ELCENKO	2617		

		ERIC ELCENKO	2617	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FIL	ED <u>14 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply value application application 	vas filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on applicant must timely file one of the following in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeared Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The pe b) The per no ever Examin	riod for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date riod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ant, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
have been filed is t under 37 CFR 1.17 set forth in (b) abo	may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the date for purposes of determining the period of extra is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). PEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the N	of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensional has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The propo (a) ☐ They	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by raise new issues that would require further contains the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
app	vare not deemed to place the application in bet eal; and/or			ne issues for
	r present additional claims without canceling a c TE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. 🔲 The amen	dments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 s reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
non-allowa	posed or amended claim(s) would be all ble claim(s).	·		_
how the ne	ses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ew or amended claims would be rejected is prov of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) of Claim(s) re				
	OTHER EVIDENCE			
because a was not ea	vit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu pplicant failed to provide a showing of good and urlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and
entered be showing a	vit or other evidence filed after the date of filing cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR	avit or other evidence is entered. An explanation RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
The claim	est for reconsideration has been considered bu ned subject matter does not overcome the prior	art of record.	condition for allowand	ce because:
12.	attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Patrick N. Ed Supervisory P	douard/ atent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			



Application No.